Lithium intercalation in $Cu_{0.5}^{II}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$

I. Bussereau, R. Olazcuaga, J. M. Dance, C. Delmas and G. Le Flem

Laboratoire de Chimie du Solide du CNRS, Université Bordeaux I, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex (France)

A. El Jazouli

Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences Ben M'Sik Sidi Othmane, Casablanca (Morocco)

Abstract

Lithium intercalation by a soft chemistry chemical route of the Nasicon-type derived phosphate $Cu_{0,5}^{I}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ leads to a new phase $Li_x Cu_{0,5}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ with a broad range of composition ($0 \le x \le 3.5$). The c_h parameter of the equivalent hexagonal cell increases with lithium content whereas a_h remains constant. Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra and magnetic susceptibility measurements allow the reduction process of both titanium(IV) and copper(II) ions to be deduced.

1. Introduction

Copper and titanium phosphates $Cu_x Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ (x = 0.5, Cu^{II} ; x = 1, Cu^{I}) have been extensively studied owing to their potential catalytic properties [1-4]. A neutron diffraction study showed that $Cu^{I}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ had a Nasicon-type structure (hexagonal cell R3c) [5, 6]. Oxidation of this compound allowed us to obtain $Cu^{II}_{0.5}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ with a structure derived from the monoclinic low temperature Nasicon variety. The intercalation of lithium in this phosphate has been studied by a soft chemistry route. We present the results of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and magnetic investigations of the materials obtained.

2. Experimental details

 $Cu^{II}_{0.5}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ was obtained by oxidation of $Cu^{I}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ at 500 °C [7, 8]. After washing in nitric acid solution to remove CuO the isolated phosphate was calcined at 600 °C for 15 h. Lithiation was carried out with a solution of *n*-butyllithium in hexane. A weighted sample of $Cu^{II}_{0.5}Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ is immersed in hexane and the *n*-butyllithium is added under stirring in an argon filled glove box. An instantaneous colour change occurs. The reaction is the following:

$$Cu^{II}_{0.5}Ti_{2}(PO_{4})_{3} + xLiC_{4}H_{9} \longrightarrow$$
$$Li_{x}Cu_{0.5}Ti_{2}(PO_{4})_{3} + \frac{x}{2}C_{8}H_{18}$$

After being left for several days, to ensure complete reaction, the solid phase was filtered, washed with hexane, dried under vacuum and characterized by X-ray diffraction. Several compositions were obtained $(0 \le x \le 3.5)$. Chemical analysis for lithium, copper, titanium and phosphorus rates was carried out in the CNRS Laboratory (Vernaison).

3. Crystallographic study

All X-ray diffraction patterns were indexed in the monoclinic system derived from the low temperature variety of Nasicon by doubling c. Parameters of the monoclinic and hexagonal equivalent cells are given in Table 1. It is seen that a_h remains nearly constant while c_h rises with the size reduction of Cu^{II} and Ti^{IV} involving larger ions in the cell (Fig. 1).

4. Electron paramagnetic resonance investigation

The EPR parameters are collected in Table 2. For $\text{Li}_{0.5}\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ the spectrum is characteristic at any temperature of a very low Cu^{II} content. For $0.5 \le x \le 2$, at 4 K the spectra detect the presence of a hyperfine structure (ϵCu^{2+}) and a signal due to Ti³⁺ for $1 \le x \le 2$. For higher lithium contents no signal appears at room temperature. The EPR spectrum at liquid helium temperature confirms the reduction of Ti^{IV} to Ti^{III} with a typical value of g = 1.86(Fig. 2).

x	$a_{\rm h}(\pm 0.02 {\rm \AA})$	$c_{\rm h}(\pm 0.05 {\rm ~\AA})$	$a_{\rm m}(\pm 0.04$ Å)	$b_{\rm m}(\pm 0.02$ Å)	$c_{\rm m}(\pm 0.04 {\rm ~\AA})$	$eta(\pm 0.06^\circ)$
0	8.41	21.88	14.51	8.38	17.60	123.34
0.5	8.38	22.36	14.51	8.38	17.77	122.99
1	8.38	22.55	14.51	8.38	17.88	122.77
2	8.38	22.83	14.51	8.38	18.03	122.47
2.5	8.39	22.93	14.53	8.39	18.10	122.36
3	8.39	23.00	14.53	8.39	18.13	122.29
3.5	8.39	23.06	14.53	8.39	18.16	122.23

TABLE 1. Parameters of the $\text{Li}_x \text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ phases ($0 \le x \le 3.5$)



Fig. 1. Evolution of c_h intercalated $\text{Li}_x \text{Cu}_{0.5} \text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ $(0 \le x \le 3.5)$ phases vs. lithium rate.

TABLE 2. EPR parameters vs. lithium rate

	x = 0.5	$1 \leq x \leq 2$	$2 < x \leq 3.5$	
300 K	ϵCu^{2+} $g_{\parallel} = 2.44$ $g_{\perp} = 2.07$	ϵCu^{2+} $g_{\parallel} = 2.44$ $g_{\perp} = 2.07$	_	-
4 K	$\epsilon C u^{2+}$	$\epsilon Cu^{2+} + Ti^{3+} g = 1.86$	Ti^{3+} g = 1.86	



Fig. 2. EPR spectrum of LiCu_{0.5}Ti₂(PO₄)₃ at 4 K.

5. Magnetic properties

The magnetic susceptibility of $\text{Li}_x \text{Cu}_{0.5} \text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ ($0 \le x \le 3.5$) was measured between 4 K and 300 K (Fig. 3). In the range 1 < x < 3.5 the curve $\chi_m^{-1} = f(T)$ of the different compositions is a straight line running through the origin, except for x = 3.5. In this case the linearity only appears at T > 125 K. The experimental



Fig. 3. Thermal variation of the reciprocal susceptibility of $\text{Li}_x \text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ ($0 \le x \le 3.5$).

molar Curie constants rise with lithium rate while the effective magnetic moment, for Ti^{III} , decreases (Table 3).

This magnetic behaviour does not agree with that of $Li_3Ti_2(PO_4)_3$, obtained by lithium intercalation in $LiTi_2(PO_4)_3$, in the context of a localized electron model assuming a rising magnetic moment with temperature (Fig. 4) [9, 10]. The presence of Cu^I would cause this discrepancy by favouring Ti^{III}-Ti^{III} interactions. According to the data obtained by EPR and magnetic investigations, we can propose these different formulae for the various steps of intercalation:

$$x = 0.5$$
 $Li_{0.5}Cu^{1}_{0.5}Ti_{2}(PO_{4})_{3}$

 $0.5 < x \le 2.5$ $\text{Li}_x \text{Cu}_{0.5}^{1} \text{Ti}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$

For x > 2.5 there are two possibilities: either reduction of Cu^I to Cu⁰ which has been excluded by a nuclear magnetic resonance study [11], or the presence of Ti^{II}.

TABLE 3. Experimental molar Curie constants and magnetic moments for $Ti^{\rm III}$

x	$C_{\rm mol.exp.}$	$\mu_{\rm eff}(1{ m Ti}^{3+})$
1	0.143	1.51
2	0.379	1.42
2.5	0.460	1.34
3	0.507	
3.5	0.914	





An electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis study, now in progress, seems indeed to confirm this last hypothesis.

References

- 1 A. Mbandza, E. Bordes and P. Courtine, Mater. Res. Bull., 20 (1985) 21.
- 2 A. El Jazouli, A. Serghini, R. Brochu, J. M. Dance and G. Le Flem, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 300 (1985) 493.
- 3 A. Mbandza, Thèse, Université de Compiègne, 1987.
- 4 G. Le Polles, Thèse, Université Bordeaux I, 1988.
- 5 A. Mbandza, E. Bordes, P. Courtine, A. El Jazouli, J. L. Soubeyroux, G. Le Flem and P. Hagenmuller, *Reactivity Solids*, 5 (1988) 315.
- 6 E. M. McCarron, J. C. Calabrese and M. A. Subramanian, Mater. Res. Bull., 22 (1987) 1421.
- 7 A. El Jazouli, Thèse, Université Bordeaux I, 1986.
- 8 I. Bussereau, Thèse, Université Bordeaux I, 1990.
- 9 A. El Jazouli, A. Nadiri, J. M. Dance, C. Delmas and G. Le Flem, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 49 (1988) 779.
- 10 C. Delmas, J. L. Soubeyroux and A. Nadiri, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, submitted for publication.
- 11 J. Senegas, I. Bussereau, R. Olazcuaga and G. Le Flem, J. Solid State Chem., submitted for publication.